

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,910	07/05/2007	Axel Engels	ENGELS 1	5629
1444 7590 02/04/2011 Browdy and Neimark, PLLC 1625 K Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			DANIELS, MATTHEW J	
Suite 1100 Washington, I	OC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1741	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/584,910	ENGELS ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
MATTHEW J. DANIELS	1741				

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 Failure to reply within the set of extending period for epily will, by statute, cause the approximation to decome Advictories (20.0.5.0§ 135). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers

9) The specification is	objected	to by the	Examiner.
----------------------------------	----------	-----------	-----------

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:

- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
 - 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of informal Patent Application	_
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/584,910 Page 2

Art Unit: 1741

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the
following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general
inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required,
in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-10, drawn to an oven.

Group II, claim(s) 11-16, drawn to a method for gravity bending.

2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature appears to be the combination of a plurality of heating groups and channels arranged in heat insulation to carry heat away via a heat transport medium flowing in the channels. However, this special technical feature is known in the art and therefore fails to define a contribution which both inventions make over the prior art. For example, Comperatore (US 4229201) teaches a furnace for bending glass which includes a plurality of heating groups (see 54 and 60 in Fig. 5). The walls of the Comperatore furnace are interpreted to be insulation. Comperatore is silent to the channels with coolant in the insulation, however, this feature is conventional in the art. For example, Knight (US 2654593) teaches a furnace with channels (29) carrying coolant (4:51-52) embedded in the walls and hearth. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the known coolant circulating system of Knight to the Comperatore for the following reasons: (a) to recover heat that would otherwise be lost, thereby increasing the

Art Unit: 1741

efficiency of the system, or (b) the Knight coolant system would more rapidly cool the furnace to room temperature, allowing for faster maintenance and operation.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 4. The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.
- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/584,910 Page 4

Art Unit: 1741

 A telephone call was made to Browdy and Neimark, P.L.L.C. on February 1, 2011, but did not result in an election being made.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to MATTHEW J. DANIELS at telephone number (571) 272-2450.

/Matthew J. Daniels/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1741